

REMARKS

Claims 6-20 are pending in this application. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Applicants gratefully acknowledge the courtesies extended to Applicants' representative at the personal interview conducted January 14, 2004. The substance of the interview is incorporated in the following remarks, and constitutes Applicants' record of the interview.

During the personal interview, Applicants' representative argued that Yanagawa (U.S. Patent 5,371,725) does not disclose or suggest a reproducing magnetic head, and that claims 6 and 16 are therefore patentable over the cited references. The Examiner agreed, and agreed to withdraw the finality of the Office Action, or to allow the application, upon submission of this Request for Reconsideration. In addition to the above comment with regard to Yanagawa, Applicants maintain the following arguments in favor of patentability of the application.

The Office Action rejects claims 6, 7, 9-12, 14, 16, 17 and 19 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over acknowledged prior art further considered with either U.S. Patent 5,615,065 to Cheung (hereinafter "Cheung") or the IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin of March 1989, all considered with the Saga et al. article (hereinafter "Saga") and all further considered with U.S. Patent 5,371,725 to Yanagawa et al. (hereinafter "Yanagawa"). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Office Action asserts (Office Action, page 5), "the claim also recites appropriate positioning elements for their desired results. Although there inherently is positioning elements in the above references, it is not clear that they are separate positioning elements for the respective heads. Yanagawa discloses/teaches in this environment the ability of having separate moving heads." However, as discussed during the personal interview, Applicants

respectfully submit that neither Saga nor Yanagawa discloses or suggests "a first positioner for positioning the optical head and the recording magnetic head...on the basis of magneto-optical signals from the magnetic marks" or "a second positioner for positioning the reproducing magnetic head at the target track during information reproduction," as recited in claim 6.

Saga discloses a conventional MO recording medium having embossed pits. Furthermore, Saga expressly discloses that the servowriting process in conventional magnetic disk drives can be omitted by using the signals detected from the embossed pits. Saga discloses detecting optical signals from the embossed pits and detecting magnetic signals from the embossed pits, in order to follow the data tracks. Therefore, in view of the recording medium structure of Saga having embossed pits, it is not obvious for Saga to position "the optical head and the recording magnetic head...on the basis of magneto-optical signals from the magnetic marks." Thus, it is not obvious to combine Saga with the other references to achieve the combination of features recited in claim 6.

As discussed during the personal interview, Yanagawa teaches a read-write head of a magneto-optical disk player having an optical head 14 with optical pickup and a magnetic head 16 having an electromagnet. Both of these components are used to perform the recording of the magneto-optical disk. Although each component does in fact have separate positioning means, the optimum relative position of the magnetic head 16 to the optical head 17 is determined by measuring the output of a magnetic force sensor 23, which detects the relative positions of a magnet 21 affixed to the magnetic head 16 to a magnet 22 affixed to the optical head 17. Yanagawa does not position an optical head and a magnetic head on the basis of magneto-optical signals from the magnetic marks. Furthermore, Yanagawa does not disclose or suggest "a second positioner for positioning the reproducing magnetic head at the target track during information reproduction," as there is no reproducing magnetic head in

Yanagawa. In Yanagawa, the second positioning means positions the electromagnet, which is a component of the read-write head. The electromagnet applies the magnetic field which is required to write the magnetic domains in the media. Therefore, as agreed to during the personal interview, Yanagawa does not disclose or suggest the element recited in claim 6 of "a second positioner for positioning the reproducing magnetic head," and claim 6 is patentable over the cited references.

Independent claim 16 recites "controlling the position of the optical head and the recording magnetic head on the basis of the detected magneto-optical signals." As argued above, neither Saga nor Yanagawa discloses or suggests this feature. Independent claim 16 further recites "detecting magnetic leakage fields from the magnetic marks with the reproducing magnetic head, and controlling the position of the reproducing magnetic head on the basis of the detected magnetic leakage fields." Since, as agreed to during the personal interview, Yanagawa does not disclose a reproducing magnetic head, it also does not disclose or suggest "controlling the position of the reproducing magnetic head," and independent claim 16 is therefore patentable over the cited references.

The Office Action rejects claims 8, 15 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over the art as applied to claims 6, 7, 9-12 and 14, further in view of Official Notice. However, the Official Notice does not supply the element missing in Saga and Yanagawa of "a first positioner for positioning the optical head and the recording magnetic head on the basis of magneto-optical signals" or "a second positioner for positioning the reproducing magnetic head at the target track during information reproduction." Therefore, the Official Notice does not remedy the deficiency of the cited references with regard to claims 6 and 16.

Claims 13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over the cited art as applied to claims 6, 7, 9-12, 14, 16, 17 and 19, and further in view of JP 10-021598. JP 10-021598 discloses a magneto-optical recording medium in which information recorded with a high

density can be reproduced by both of a magneto-optical method and magnetic method. However, JP 10-021598 does not disclose or suggest "a first positioner for positioning an optical head and recording magnetic head on the basis of magneto-optical signals from the magnetic marks" or "a second positioner for positioning the reproducing magnetic head at the target track during information reproduction." Therefore, JP 10-021598 does not supply the elements missing from Saga and Yanagawa, and does not remedy the deficiency of Saga and Yanagawa with respect to claims 6 and 16.

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that independent claims 6 and 16 are patentable over the cited references. Dependent claims 7-15 are patentable in view of their dependence on patentable claim 6, as well as for the additional features they recite, and dependent claims 17-20 are patentable in view of their dependence on claim 16, as well as for the additional features they recite. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejections of claims 6-20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

In view of the foregoing remarks, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 6-20 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further is desirable in order to place this application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Jaquelin K. Spong
Registration No. 52,241

Attachment:

Petition for Extension of Time

JAO:JKS/scg

Date: January 20, 2004

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
